

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,466	10/12/2000	Mitsuaki Oshima	2000_1422	3379
7	590 12/30/2002			
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP			EXAMINER	
2033 K Street NW Suite 800			LE, AMANDA T	
Washington, D	C 20006			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

←			11		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	K		
	09/686,466	OSHIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amanda T Le	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	Idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replance of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent of the period for reply will, by staturent of the period for reply will, by staturent of the period for reply will. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	October 2002 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ne merits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 22-29 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	ier.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	• •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language parts and a claim for domest the foreign language parts.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 09/686,466

¹ Art Unit: 2634

Reissue Applications

1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 22-29 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/686,466

Art Unit: 2634

3. Claims 22-29 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine

Page 3

of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 22-29 of

copending Application No. 09/686,465. Although the conflicting claims are not

identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to omit

an element, i.e., "ECC encoder section", of the copending claimed invention whose

function is not needed in a particular design.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the

conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 22-29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) set forth in this Office action.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: Prior art of record, taken individually or collectively, fails to disclose a

transmission or receiving method/apparatus comprising the modulation process as

claimed.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented

in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

4

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

AMANDAT. LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER